Lang Príze

Evaluation Rubric

Judges will utilize the following rubric to evaluate submissions.

1. Reflective Essay to Prize Committee (25 points)

Reflective Essay to Prize Committee (25 points)		
Accomplished (18-25)	Proficient / Competent (9-17)	Developing (0-8)
 Search strategies are sufficiently well-described to assess search plan, execution and evaluation of results. The searcher: Identifies types of information needed Uses various research tools and sources appropriate to the inquiry Persists and shows initiative in gaining access to sources Uses flexible & creative search terms & strategies Adjusts search strategies in response to success/failure Articulates and utilizes specific investigative techniques unique to the discipline 	 Search strategies are described generally. The searcher: Identifies standard finding aids & services, but omits other appropriate resources Describes minimal or misguided efforts to optimize search terms Fails to address challenges, information gaps or responses to failed searches Does not utilize investigative methods appropriate to the discipline 	 Search strategies omitted or contain insufficient detail to assess search plan, execution and evaluation of results. The searcher: Does not include evidence of appropriate resources or search strategies Does not identify appropriate finding aids or tools for the given context Does not include clear methodology for gathering discipline-specific information
Displays clear criteria for evaluation of sources selected, including all of the following: - Relevance - Authority/credibility - Scope/coverage - Accuracy - Currency - Particular viewpoints	Criteria for evaluation of selected sources incomplete or unclear.	Does not identify criteria for evaluation of selected sources.



Lang Príze

Demonstrates a good	Demonstrates a limited	Does not demonstrate an
awareness of diverse	awareness of other	awareness of other
viewpoints/influences.	viewpoints/influences.	viewpoints/influences.
Makes a strong case for the importance or originality of the ideas presented in this work.	Distinguishes own original contribution from that of others.	Does not evaluate own ideas or those encountered in the scholarship.

2. Research Paper or Creative Project (10 points)

Research Paper or Creative Project (10 pts)		
Accomplished (8-10)	Proficient / Competent (4-7)	Developing (0-3)
Uses sources appropriately in support of argument/thesis.	Some claims or assertions lack references.	Unsupported claims or assertions.
Contextualizes and uses numerical data or primary sources appropriately.	Uses data or primary sources occasionally inappropriately or, at times, poorly integrated into argument.	Data or primary sources not used appropriately, e.g. primary data obtained from secondary sources.
Integrates well-selected quotes and acquired ideas into argument.	Uses quotes or acquired ideas generally appropriately, but could have been better selected or synthesized for conciseness/originality.	Poorly selected quotes (e.g. from sources that do not support applicant's argument or address point) or displays heavy reliance on quotes instead of synthesizing material.

3. Bibliography (10 points)

Accomplished (8-10)	Proficient / Competent (4-7)	Developing (0-3)
Appropriately uses sources that display a rich diversity of content, provenance and/or format.	Uses a range of sources appropriate to the topic.	Relies on too few sources.



Lang Príze

Sources display the ability to dig beneath the surface of information in the search for relevant material.	Sources generally meet assignment requirements, but may lack breadth, rigor or relevance.	Unclear why some sources were selected.
Citations are accurate, complete and standardized (a few formatting errors can be forgiven).	Some citations are incomplete or unstandardized.	Many citations are incomplete (lacking sufficient information to locate the source cited) or unstandardized (lacking a consistent style).

4. Instructor Review (5 points)

Accomplished (4-5)	Proficient / Competent (2-3)	Developing (0-1)	
The instructor assesses the work	The instructor assesses the work as accomplished, proficient or developing. The instructor		
explains the content and quality of the paper or project as relevant to questions within the discipline, i.e., the work exhibits originality, comprehensiveness and/or is unique.	indicates the work has some relevance, but generally takes a familiar path, OR that the work exhibits limited originality, comprehensiveness and/or uniqueness.	points to little or no relevance in topic, or expresses doubt about, the quality of the paper or project.	
indicates the work as appropriate and addresses the thorough use of research materials throughout the paper or project.	indicates that the work makes limited use of research materials throughout the paper or project.	does not discuss, or expresses doubt about, the appropriate use of research materials throughout the paper or project.	
addresses the initiative shown by student in identifying most of the key resources. Explains in what way the student used the library collections or services in a creative and/or flexible manner.	indicates that student showed limited initiative in identifying the key resources or use of library collections or services.	does not discuss, or expresses doubt about, the identification of key resources or use of library collections or services.	

